### TITLE 329 SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### **Proposed Rule**

LSA Document #05-250

# **DIGEST**

Adds <u>329 IAC 11.5</u> to establish a program to remove mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles as required by <u>IC 13-20-17.7</u> (P.L.170-2006). Effective 30 days after filing with the Publisher.

# **HISTORY**

First Notice of Comment Period: October 1, 2005, Indiana Register (29 IR 221).

Continuation of First Notice of Comment Period: May 1, 2006, Indiana Register (29 IR 2696).

Second Notice of Comment Period: August 9, 2006, Indiana Register (DIN: 20060809-IR-329050250SNA).

Notice of First Public Hearing: August 9, 2006, Indiana Register (DIN: 20060809-IR-329050250PHA).

Date of First Public Hearing: November 21, 2006.

# PUBLIC COMMENTS UNDER IC 13-14-9-4.5

<u>IC 13-14-9-4.5</u> states that a board may not adopt a proposed rule under <u>IC 13-14-9</u> that is substantively different from the draft rule published under <u>IC 13-14-9-4</u> until the board has conducted a third comment period that is at least 21 days long. Because this proposed rule is not substantively different from the draft rule published on August 9, 2006 (DIN: <u>20060809-IR-329050250SNA</u>), the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) is not requesting additional comment on this rule.

### SUMMARY/RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM THE SECOND COMMENT PERIOD

The Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) requested public comment from August 9, 2006, through September 8, 2006, on IDEM's draft rule language. No comments were received during the second comment period.

# SUMMARY/RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FROM THE FIRST PUBLIC HEARING

On November 21, 2006, the Solid Waste Management Board (board) conducted the first public hearing/board meeting concerning the development of new rules at <u>329 IAC 11.5</u> for removal of mercury switches from end of life vehicles. Comments were received from the following persons:

Robert Snodgrass, LFR Inc. representing OmniSource Corporation and Capitol City Metals LLC (LFR) Miriam Dant, Baker & Daniels LLP, representing Nucor Steel and Steel Dynamics (B & D) Following are the comments received and IDEM's responses thereto:

Comment: The Indiana Legislature adopted P.L. 170-2006 after significant input from all interested parties to address the issue of mercury switches in end-of-life automobiles. The legislation requires automobile manufacturers to submit a plan for implementing the recovery of mercury switches (IC 13-20-17.7-1(a)(2)). The legislation further requires the commissioner of IDEM to issue a public notice and solicit comments on the submitted plan (IC 13-20-17.7-4). We are concerned with the proposal of a new rule placing non-legislated requirements on motor vehicle recyclers until the requirements of the legislation have been met. We respectfully request action on this rule be delayed until after IDEM has at least received, if not actually approved, the required plan from the automobile manufacturers. (LFR)

Response: End of Life Vehicle Solutions (ELVS), a corporation formed by automobile manufacturers to comply with the requirements of P.L. 170-2006, submitted its Mercury Minimization Plan on September 27, 2006. IDEM published that plan with a request for public comments on November 8, 2006 (DIN: 20061108-IR-318060503ONA). The department has until January 25, 2007 to approve the plan. Since plan approval triggers statutory and logistic requirements, IDEM is sensitive to the timing of that approval. There will be a delay from the date motor vehicle recyclers must begin removing switches to the effective date of this rule. IDEM is working to minimize the effects of that delay.

Comment: Section 15 of P.L. 170-2006 adopted language at <u>IC 13-20-17.7-2(a)(5)</u> and (b) that clearly places the responsibility for tracking and reporting the progress of the mercury switch removal program on the motor vehicle manufacturers and IDEM. The specific language reads as follows:

"Chapter 17.7. Mercury Switches in End of Life Vehicles

Sec. 1. (a)... motor vehicle manufacturers engaged on July 1, 2006, in the business of offering motor vehicles for sale in Indiana shall, individually or collectively:

(1) develop a plan...

Sec. 2. (a) A plan described in section 1 of this chapter must include the following:

. . .

(5) Procedures to track the progress of the program, including a description of performance measures to

be used and reported to demonstrate that the program is meeting measures of the effectiveness of the program, including the following:

- (A) The number of mercury switches collected from end of life vehicles.
- (B) The amount of mercury collected.

...

- (b) The department shall:
- (1) prepare an annual report that includes the information tracked under subsection (a)(5); and
- (2) provide the report to:
- (A) the legislative council in an electronic format under IC 5-14-6; and
- (B) the environmental quality service council."

Additionally, Section 15 of P.L. 170-2006 adopted language at <u>IC 13-20-17.7-2(d)</u> that clearly limits the responsibility of the motor vehicle recycler to maintaining certain records without placing any reporting requirements on the recycler. The specific language reads as follows:

"Chapter 17.7. Mercury Switches in End of Life Vehicles...

Sec. 5...

- (d) A motor vehicle recycler or any other person that removes mercury switches in accordance with this section shall maintain records that document the number of:
- (1) end of life vehicles the person processed for recycling;
- (2) end of life vehicles the person processed that contained mercury switches; and
- (3) mercury switches the person collected.

A person that maintains records under this section shall retain the records for at least three (3) years."

The proposal in 329 IAC 11.5-1-4(a) to place reporting requirements on motor vehicle recyclers is clearly an extension to the requirements of P.L. 170-2006 and is not in fact necessary to implement the requirements of the law. We strongly object to any attempt to place additional burdens on the regulated community not specifically authorized by the statute under the guise of "implementation", and respectfully request this entire section of the proposed rule be eliminated. (LFR)

Response: 329 IAC 11.5-1-4(a) reiterates the requirement in IC 13-20-17.7-5(d) for motor vehicle recyclers to record information about the vehicles and switches they process. To implement the statutory requirement and make this information available for use, as well as to reduce costs to Indiana taxpayers of obtaining this information from approximately 800 motor vehicle recyclers, the rule would require motor vehicle recyclers to provide this information to IDEM once each year. IDEM has made every effort to minimize the administrative requirements of this rule and will continue to do so as we implement this program. IDEM will also consider changes to this section to clarify that each entity is responsible for providing the information only for those vehicles from which they remove switches.

Comment: The proposal in 329 IAC 11.5-1-4(a)(3)(A) & (B) to place new and additional recordkeeping requirements on motor vehicle recyclers is clearly an extension to the requirements of P.L. 170-2006 and is not in fact necessary to implement the requirements of the law. We strongly object to any attempt to place additional burdens on the regulated community not specifically authorized by the statute under the guise of "implementation", and respectfully request this entire section of the proposed rule be eliminated. (LFR)

Response: 329 IAC 11.5-1-4(a) reiterates the requirement in IC 13-20-17.7-5(d) for motor vehicle recyclers to record information about the vehicles and switches they process. To implement the statutory requirement and make this information available for use, as well as to reduce costs to Indiana taxpayers of obtaining this information from approximately 800 motor vehicle recyclers, the rule would require motor vehicle recyclers to provide this information to IDEM once each year. IDEM has made every effort to minimize the administrative requirements of this rule and will continue to do so as we implement this program.

Comment: The proposal in 329 IAC 11.5-1-5(a) to allow the commissioner to request either or both paper and electronic submission of the reports should be changed. If these new requirements, that exceed legislative authority, are to be placed on motor vehicle recyclers, the recyclers should not be subject to the whim of the commissioner. We respectfully request the language be changed so if the commissioner requests electronic submission it will be in lieu of paper reports. (LFR)

Response: IDEM will revise this section in the final rule to prevent unnecessary reporting.

Comment: Section 15 of P.L. 170-2006 adopts language at <u>IC 13-20-17.7-5(f)</u> that clearly exempts facilities that accept intentionally flattened, crushed or baled end of life vehicles from liability if switches are still present. The specific language reads as follows:

"Chapter 17.7. Mercury Switches in End of Life Vehicles...

Sec. 5....

(f) A motor vehicle recycler or other person that receives an intentionally flattened, crushed, or baled end of life vehicle may not be considered to be in violation of this section if a mercury switch is found in the vehicle after the person acquires the vehicle."

The proposal in <u>329 IAC 11.5-1-6(b)</u> to require motor vehicle recyclers to obtain documentation that switches have been removed from intentionally crushed vehicles is clearly an extension beyond the legislative mandate We

DIN: 20070221-IR-329050250PRA

respectfully request this language be eliminated bring the rule into accordance with the legislative exclusion. (LFR)

Response: This provision was added as a way to protect the person who receives an intentionally crushed vehicle. The person who crushes the motor vehicle is also a motor vehicle recycler under the statute. IDEM will amend this section to require the person who crushes a vehicle and then transfers it to another person to provide documentation that the switches have been removed.

Comment: We also request that consideration be given to excluding unintentionally damaged vehicles as well. The Continuation of First Notice for this proposed rule indicated there would be a 'clarification of a "motor vehicle that is intentionally and unintentionally {emphasis added} flattened, crushed, or baled", however, no such clarification has been provided. The legislature clearly recognized that it is impractical to access switches on intentionally mangled cars and a similar allowance should be made for unintentionally damaged end of life vehicles, such as those involved in serious accidents, where the hood or truck has been severely damaged making access to switches impracticable. (LFR)

Response: IDEM will clarify the applicability of the rule to seriously damaged vehicles in the version proposed for final adoption.

Comment: The proposal in <u>329 IAC 11.5-1-7</u> to allow the rule to stay in effect beyond the expiration of the legislation should be changed to repeal the rule immediately upon expiration of the statutory authority. (LFR)

Response: The intent of this section is to allow the provisions for payments to recyclers to continue for a short time beyond the expiration of the statute to allow time to ship any remaining switches and receive payment for them. Having the rule expire at the same time as the statutory requirement to remove the switches would leave many recyclers holding mercury switches for which no bounty could be legally paid and for which industry-funded shipping and processing may not be available.

Comment: The proposal in 329 IAC 11.5-2-2 establishes a definition for the containers to be provided to motor vehicle recyclers by automobile manufacturers, but there has not yet been a plan submitted by automobile manufacturers as required by the statute. In fact, the deadline for initial submission of a plan by the automobile manufacturers has not yet arrived. Until the specified plan is at least submitted for comment, it seems premature to adopt definitions that will apply to motor vehicle recyclers when the details of the manufacturers' plan are unknown. We respectfully request all work on this rule be placed on hold until such time as the automobile manufactures plans have at least been initially submitted. (LFR)

Response: End of Life Vehicle Solutions (ELVS), a corporation formed by automobile manufacturers to comply with the requirements of P.L. 170-2006, submitted its Mercury Minimization Plan on September 27, 2006, before the statutory deadline of October 1, 2006. IDEM published that plan with a request for public comments on November 8, 2006 (DIN: 20061108-IR-318060503ONA). The department has until January 25, 2007 to approve the plan. Since plan approval triggers statutory and logistic requirements, IDEM is sensitive to the timing of that approval. There will be a delay from the date motor vehicle recyclers must begin removing switches to the effective date of this rule when payments begin. IDEM is working to minimize the effects of that delay. The only non-statutory definition in this rule clarifies the term "container" as it is used elsewhere in the rule.

Comment: The proposal in 329 IAC 11.5-2-2(2) of the definition states the containers to be supplied by the manufacturers must comply with the universal waste regulations for transportation. This should be modified to include a requirement that the containers must also meet the storage requirements for universal waste. (LFR)

Response: The requirements for storage and transportation of universal waste are fully described in 40 CFR 273 as incorporated by reference in 329 IAC 3.1. The ELVS plan and the rule are based on the universal waste rule. Motor vehicle recyclers who comply with the rule and the ELVS plan will be in compliance with the universal waste rule. Further regulation in this rule would not be necessary or helpful.

Comment: The proposal in 329 IAC 11.5-2-2(2) and 329 IAC 11.5-4-1(d) indicate the mercury switches will be managed under the requirements of the Universal Waste Rules, but Indiana has not yet adopted the revisions to the Federal Hazardous Waste Rules that incorporate mercury containing devices into the state rules. Until such time as the updated Hazardous Waste Rules are adopted, we respectfully request some assurance be placed in this proposed rule language that handling the mercury switches in accordance with the Federal requirements will satisfy all state requirements and will preclude anyone, including both the state and private citizens, from filing suit for failing to comply with the currently more stringent state requirements. (LFR)

Response: The federal amendment adding mercury containing equipment to the universal waste regulations at 40 CFR 273 (70 FR 45508-45522, August 5, 2005) was adopted in the 2005 Hazardous Waste Annual Update (LSA Document #05-66). That rule was effective on September 5, 2006 (DIN: 20060712-IR-329050066FRA, July 12, 2006).

Comment: There is no requirement for automobile manufacturers to provide the containers. Supposedly this will be a required part of the plan the automobile manufacturers will be submitting. We respectfully request at a provision be added to require the automobile manufacturers to provide the containers and state the containers must meet the storage and transportation requirements for universal waste and that motor vehicle recyclers will not be held accountable if such containers are not provided by the automobile manufacturers. (LFR)

DIN: 20070221-IR-329050250PRA

Response: IC 13-20-17.7-2 (added by P.L. 170-2006) requires automobile manufacturers to provide

containers for collecting and storing mercury switches. The automobile manufacturers are fulfilling this requirement through their contractor (ELVS). Paragraph 2 of the ELVS plan discusses in detail the containers they will provide. A primary function of ELVS is to make these containers available to every known motor vehicle recycler. IDEM is working with the Indiana Bureau of Motor Vehicles to provide information on Indiana motor vehicle recyclers to ELVS in a timely manner. The ELVS Mercury Minimization Plan was received on September 27, 2006. IDEM published that plan with a request for public comments on November 8, 2006 (DIN: 20061108-IR-318060503ONA).

Comment: Section 15 of P.L. 170-2006 adopted language at <u>IC 13-20-17.7-2(d)</u> that clearly limits the responsibility of the motor vehicle recycler to maintaining certain records without placing any reporting requirements on the recycler. The specific language reads as follows:

"Chapter 17.7. Mercury Switches in End of Life Vehicles...

Sec. 5....

- (d) A motor vehicle recycler or any other person that removes mercury switches in accordance with this section shall maintain records that document the number of:
- (1) end of life vehicles the person processed for recycling:
- (2) end of life vehicles the person processed that contained mercury switches; and
- (3) mercury switches the person collected. A person that maintains records under this section shall retain the records for at least three (3) years."

The proposal at 329 IAC 11.5-5-1(a)(2) to place new and additional recordkeeping and reporting requirements on motor vehicle recyclers in order to receive compensation for switch removal is clearly an extension to the requirements of P.L. 170-2006 and is not in fact necessary to implement the requirements of the law. A simple manifest documenting the number of mercury switches sent off-site for recycling should be sufficient for reimbursement. We strongly object to any attempt to place additional burdens on the regulated community not specifically authorized by the statute under the guise of "implementation", and respectfully request this entire section of the proposed rule be eliminated. (LFR)

Response: Motor vehicle recyclers are required by Indiana law to maintain records on every motor vehicle they receive. The information required by this rule is a subset of the information that motor vehicle recyclers already obtain. Motor vehicle recyclers will receive public funds in payment for removing mercury switches. It is imperative that IDEM collect a certain amount of information about the switches and the sources of those switches to justify those payments. The information required in this rule is the minimum required to support payments to recyclers, and is required to effectively audit claims for payment.

Comment: We strongly support the proposal at 329 IAC 11.5-5-1(c) to establish the fees for each mercury switch removed. However, we would like to see some indication of the level of funding the commissioner plans to appropriate to fund the program. At present, it is entirely unclear if any money will actually be placed into the fund. (LFR)

Response: P.L. 170-2006 provided that payments for mercury switches be made from the solid waste management fund. The actual dollar amount available for those payments will be determined by the commissioner based on the available funds and the priorities for all required uses of the fund.

Comment. I want to support the preliminary adoption of the rule. We think it's important for the policies and procedures and the standards for the mercury switch recovery program to be in place as quickly as possible. In particular, we support the provisions of the rule that allow for the payment of the bounties, because that really is going to be the key to getting the switches removed in a good enough manner that we have a functioning program in Indiana. (B & D)

Response: IDEM agrees.

### 329 IAC 11.5

SECTION 1. 329 IAC 11.5 IS ADDED TO READ AS FOLLOWS:

# **ARTICLE 11.5. MERCURY SWITCHES**

**Rule 1. General Provisions** 

329 IAC 11.5-1-1 Purpose

Authority: IC 13-14-8-7; IC 13-15; IC 13-19-3

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 1. The purpose of this article is to implement the provisions of Public Law 170-2006 requiring the removal of mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-1)

329 IAC 11.5-1-2 Enforcement

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u> Affected: <u>IC 13-20</u>; <u>IC 13-30</u>; <u>IC 36-9-30</u>

Sec. 2. This article will be enforced through IC 13-30, as appropriate.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-2)

329 IAC 11.5-1-3 Incorporation by reference

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u>

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 3. Unless specified in the incorporated by reference documents incorporated in this article, the version of documents referenced in the incorporated by reference documents is the latest version that is in effect on the date of the latest adoption of the incorporated by reference documents in this article.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-3)

329 IAC 11.5-1-4 Records and standards for submitted information

Authority: IC 13-14-8-7; IC 13-15; IC 13-19-3

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 4. (a) Any owner or operator of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana or any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles is required to do the following:

- (1) Report annually to the department in a form or format specified by the department.
- (2) Submit the report by January 31 for the previous year.
- (3) Include the following:
  - (A) The number of each of the following:
  - (i) Vehicles with convenience switches that were processed by the business in a calendar year from January 1 through December 31 of the same year.
  - (ii) Convenience switches that have been removed during the calendar year from January 1 through December 31 of the same year.
  - (iii) Convenience switches that have been returned for processing in the calendar year from January 1 through December 31 of the same year.
  - (iv) Removed convenience switches that remain on site as of December 31.
  - (v) ABS switches that have been removed during the calendar year from January 1 through December 31 of the same year.
  - (B) The approximate percentage of the vehicles processed at the business that have convenience switches that contain mercury during the reporting calendar year.
- (b) The owner or operator of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana or any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles shall record and retain at the business in an operating record any records required by this article.

DIN: 20070221-IR-329050250PRA

- (c) All records required by this article shall be retained by the owner or operator of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana or any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles:
  - (1) for a period of three (3) years; or

(2) until the rule expires or is repealed; whichever is less.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-4)

### 329 IAC 11.5-1-5 Electronic submission of information

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u>

Affected: <u>IC 13-20</u>; <u>IC 36-9-30</u>

Sec. 5. (a) Electronic submission of information that is required by this article may be requested by the commissioner. The format and submittal mechanism will be prescribed by the commissioner. Any information submitted on electronic media also must be submitted as a paper copy or copies, unless the commissioner makes a determination that only an electronic copy or a paper copy is needed.

- (b) Electronically submitted information must meet the following requirements:
- (1) Section 4 of this rule.
- (2) The submittal deadlines of this article.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-5)

## 329 IAC 11.5-1-6 Rule compliance

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u>

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 6. (a) If a motor vehicle recycler in Indiana or other person in Indiana that removes convenience switches requests payment under 329 IAC 11.5-4-1, the:

- (1) owners or operators of a motor vehicle recycler business; or
- (2) other person that removes convenience switches;

may be subject to inspection by a department representative to verify that only convenience switches and ABS switches that contain mercury are being sent for processing.

(b) A motor vehicle recycler in Indiana or other person in Indiana that removes convenience switches must obtain documentation that an intentionally crushed vehicle has had the convenience switch removed and recycled.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-6)

### 329 IAC 11.5-1-7 Statutory expiration effect

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u>

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 7. If the authorizing statute expires, the rule is considered repealed after sixty (60) days.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-1-7)

# **Rule 2. Definitions**

# 329 IAC 11.5-2-1 Definitions

Authority: IC 13-14-8-7; IC 13-15; IC 13-19-3 Affected: IC 13-11-2; IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30 Sec. 1. The definitions in IC 13-11-2 and this rule apply throughout this article.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-2-1)

329 IAC 11.5-2-2 "Container" defined

Authority: IC 13-14-8-7; IC 13-15; IC 13-19-3

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 2. "Container" means the collection device provided by the automobile manufacturers through an automobile manufacturer's alliance to the motor vehicle recycler or other person removing convenience switches. This container:

- (1) provides storage at the business; and
- (2) must comply with the universal waste regulations for transportation.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-2-2)

329 IAC 11.5-2-3 "Motor vehicle recycler" defined

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u> Affected: <u>IC 13-11-2-130.3</u>; <u>IC 13-20</u>; <u>IC 36-9-30</u>

Sec. 3. "Motor vehicle recycler" has the meaning set forth in IC 13-11-2-130.3.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-2-3)

Rule 3. Anti-Lock Brake System (ABS) Allowance

329 IAC 11.5-3-1 ABS allowance

Authority: IC 13-14-8-7; IC 13-15; IC 13-19-3

Affected: IC 13-20; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 1. At the discretion of:

(1) the owner or operator of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana; or

(2) any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles; anti-lock brake mercury pellets or assemblies that contain mercury may be removed, collected, and sent for processing with convenience switches and payment requested under 329 IAC 11.5-4-1.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-3-1)

Rule 4. Mercury Switch Removal, Storage, and Disposal Requirements

329 IAC 11.5-4-1 Mercury switch removal, storage, and disposal requirements

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u>

Affected: IC 13-20-17.7-2; IC 13-20-17.7-5; IC 36-9-30

Sec. 1. (a) All owners or operators of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana are required to remove and collect convenience switch mercury pellets or assemblies and may collect ABS switches or assemblies from end-of-life vehicles upon the effective date as required by IC 13-20-17.7-5(a). Any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches or ABS switches containing mercury from end-of-life vehicles must do so in accordance with this article.

- (b) The convenience switch mercury pellets or assemblies and ABS switches or assemblies that contain mercury must be stored in a container that meets the definition at 329 IAC 11.5-2-2.
  - (c) Each container must contain not more than four hundred fifty (450) pellets.
- (d) If the container, provided to the owners or operators of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana by the automobile manufacturers, is used and sent to the mercury processor specified by the automobile manufacturer in the approved plan required by IC 13-20-17.7-2, then the requirements for mercury containing devices under the universal waste requirements are considered to be met for storage, transportation, recycling, and disposal.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-4-1)

## Rule 5. Payment Procedure

329 IAC 11.5-5-1 Payment procedure

Authority: <u>IC 13-14-8-7</u>; <u>IC 13-15</u>; <u>IC 13-19-3</u> Affected: <u>IC 9-22-4</u>; <u>IC 13-20</u>; <u>IC 36-9-30</u>

- Sec. 1. (a) As follows, owners or operators of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana or any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles:
  - (1) May submit a request to the department for reimbursement under subsection (c).
  - (2) Must record and submit the following to the department when requesting reimbursement:
    - (A) A list of the make, model, and year of each vehicle that had convenience switches or ABS switches that contain mercury removed.
    - (B) The number of switches taken from that vehicle.
  - (3) Must submit for reimbursement the following information:
    - (A) The facility's salvage motor vehicle business license number, as issued by the Indiana bureau of motor vehicles under IC 9-22-4, as implemented under 140 IAC 3-3.
    - (B) The number of:
    - (i) convenience switches; and
    - (ii) ABS switches;

removed, collected, and transported to the mercury processor.

- (b) The owners or operators of a motor vehicle recycler business in Indiana or any other person in Indiana that removes mercury switches from end-of-life vehicles that submit the information required by this section for reimbursement must sign an affidavit as part of the submitted information stating the following:
  - (1) The convenience switches and ABS switches that were removed and sent for processing contained mercury.
  - (2) All information submitted is true and accurate.
- (c) Based on the submitted information, the department will pay three dollars (\$3) for each convenience switch pellet and five dollars (\$5) for each ABS switch assembly that contains mercury as the commissioner appropriates the funds.
- (d) It is a violation of this article to submit a request for reimbursement for convenience switches and ABS switches that do not contain mercury.

(Solid Waste Management Board; 329 IAC 11.5-5-1)

Notice of Public Hearing

Posted: 02/21/2007 by Legislative Services Agency

An html version of this document.